



**CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  
STAFF REPORT**

**DATE:** February 9, 2022

**TO:** Planning Commission

**FROM:** Carrie Tai, AICP, Director of Community Development

**THROUGH:** Talyn Mirzakhanian, Planning Manager

**SUBJECT:** Planning Commission Reconsideration of the Circumstances of the Declared COVID-19 Emergency to Determine Whether the Planning Commission Should Continue to Hold Remote Meetings Pursuant to AB 361's Special Teleconferencing Requirements

**BACKGROUND**

As reported to the Planning Commission on December 8, 2021, on March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist in California due to the spread of COVID-19. The Governor subsequently issued numerous executive orders suspending or modifying State laws to facilitate the response to the emergency. Among other things, these executive orders superseded certain Brown Act requirements and established special rules to give local public agencies greater flexibility to conduct teleconference meetings, including authorizing commissioners to participate in meetings from remote locations without compliance with certain noticing requirements. Among the suspended teleconferencing rules are the Brown Act's requirements that: (1) the notice of the meeting and agenda identify the location of the remote location; (2) the remote location is accessible to the public; and (3) the agenda provides an opportunity for the public to directly address the commission at each teleconference location. The special rules suspending these Brown Act requirements expired on September 30, 2021.

On September 16, 2021, in anticipation of the then-imminent expiration of special rules for teleconference meetings, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 361. In key part, this bill amends the Brown Act to establish special requirements for teleconference meetings. To hold meetings

under these special teleconferencing requirements, a legislative body of a local public agency needs to make two findings pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(3). First, there must be a declared state of emergency and the legislative body must find that it has “reconsidered” the circumstances of such emergency. Second, the legislative body must find that such emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the legislative body’s members to meet safely in person. Alternatively, for the second finding, the legislative body must find that state or local officials continue to impose or recommend social distancing measures. These findings must be made within 30 days after the legislative body teleconferences for the first time under AB 361 and on a monthly basis thereafter. The Planning Commission initially made these findings with respect to Commission meetings on December 8, 2021, and then again on January 12, 2022. The findings shall be reconsidered by the Commission for the third time as applicable to meetings in February. Staff will continue to present these findings to the Commission on a monthly basis should the above-mentioned conditions continue.

For the first finding, the declared emergency is still in effect. For the second finding, California and Los Angeles County have each recommended measures to promote social distancing. Thus, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health still requires that employers provide training on the effectiveness of physical distancing in the workplace. Additionally, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health still encourages people at risk for severe illness or death from COVID-19 to take protective measures such as social distancing and, for those not yet fully vaccinated, to physically distance from others whose vaccination status is unknown. The County Health Department also continues to recommend that employers take steps to support physical distancing.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The City has reviewed the proposed activity for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined that the activity is not a “Project” as defined under Section 15378 of the State CEQA Guidelines; therefore, pursuant to Section 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines the activity is not subject to CEQA. Thus, no environmental review is necessary.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission make the following findings so that meetings of the Commission will be subject to the special Brown Act requirements for teleconference meetings and direct staff to place a third reconsideration on the agenda for the first meeting in March: (1) there continues to be a state of emergency and the Commission has reconsidered the circumstances of the COVID-19 state of emergency; and (2) State and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing; therefore, the Commission may continue to hold remote meetings.