



**CITY OF WASILLA**

290 E. HERNING AVE.  
WASILLA, ALASKA 99654-7091  
PHONE: (907) 373-9050  
FAX: (907) 373-9085  
**COUNCIL MEMORANDUM**  
**NO. 93-54**

**FROM:** Mayor Stein

**DATE:** June 11, 1993

**RE:** Proposed Council Policy 93-02; Road Ranking Criteria

Council requested a system for ranking L.I.D. road paving projects proposed under the 1/3-2/3 cost sharing formula. Using the general CIP ranking system proposed by our contract planner Gordon Lewis I have prepared special criteria to prioritize paving L. I. D.'s.

The "Proposed Ranking Criteria" sheet describes ten suggested criteria and scoring descriptions. The "Scoring Sheet" would be used by the members of the Planning Commission and/or Council to individually score projects based on findings provided by staff. Individual scores would then be aggregated to rank project priorities for council approval.

I respectfully ask Council to review and comment on the proposal. The ranking system will be then formalized for your approval at the next meeting.

John C. Stein

**Proposed Council Policy No. 93-02**  
*Non Binding* **Ranking Criteria**

<b>Traffic Count</b>	High	Local roads to 400 AADT	Collectors to 3000 AADT
		200	1000
	Low	50	400
<b>L.I.D. Protests</b>	High	Less than 10% protest	
		25% Protest	
	Low	Near 50% Protest	
<b>Present Road Conditions</b>	High	Badly needs upgrading, repair	
		Serviceable condition	
	Low	Nearly new, in excellent condition	
<b>Available ROW</b>	High	Adequate standard rights of way exist	
		Substandard ROW limits some parts of project	
	Low	Substandard ROW is not adequate to build project	

<b>ROW Acquisition</b>	High	Needed ROW will be voluntarily contributed ROW can be acquired through negotiation
	Low	Condemnation will be required to acquire ROW
<b>Dust Complaints</b>	High	Many complaints about dust and air quality problems Occasional Complaints
	Low	Few complaints
<b>Surface Complaints</b>	High	Many complaints about rough driving surface, mud Occasional complaints
	Low	Few complaints
<b>Economy</b>	High	Project will result in a direct improvement to business, jobs No effect
	Low	Project destroys or inhibits business, jobs
<b>Linkage</b> (to private, gov't. project)	High	Part of ongoing or just completed project Part of an approved project not underway
	Low	Not directly connected to any project
<b><u>Cost to City</u></b>	High	Project would use less than 20% of annual CIP funds less than 30%
	Low	more than 50%

**Scoring Sheet for 1/3-2/3 LID Paving Projects**

Commissioner, Council Member: \_\_\_\_\_

Score each criterion from **0** (low priority) to **10** (high priority)

CRITERION	PROJECT 1	PROJECT 2	PROJECT 3	PROJECT 4
TRAFFIC COUNT				
LID PROTESTS				
PRESENT ROAD CONDITION				
AVAILABLE ROW				
ROW ACQUISITION				
DUST COMPLAINTS				
SURFACE COMPLAINTS				
ECONOMY				
CITY COST				
TOTAL POINTS				