

Staff Report City of Manhattan Beach

TO:

Honorable Mayor Montgomery and Members of the City Council

THROUGH: Geoff Dolan, City Manager

FROM:

Bruce Moe, Finance Director

DATE:

June 3, 2008

SUBJECT: Public Hearing and Adoption of Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Operating Budget and

2008-2013 Capital Improvement Plan, Including Fee Increases for all City-Owned On-Street and Parking Lot Parking Meters, and Associated Coastal Development Permits; Parks & Recreation Playground Program Fees; and Credit Card

Convenience Fees

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council:

- a) Conduct a Public Hearing;
- b) Adopt Resolution 6142 establishing the appropriations limit for the 2008-2009 fiscal year;
- c) Adopt Resolution No. 6143 approving the FY 2008-2009 Operating Budget;
- d) Adopt Resolution No. 6144 approving the 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Plan;
- e) Adopt Resolution No. 6145 approving a Coastal Development Permit for a rate increase for parking meters located within the appeal-able area of the Coastal Zone;
- f) Adopt Resolution No. 6146 approving a Coastal Development Permit for a rate increase for parking meters located within non appeal-able area of the Coastal Zone;
- g) Adopt Resolution No. 6147 approving increased fees for parking meters, Parks & Recreation Playground Program fees, and a credit card convenience fee.

FISCAL IMPLICATION:

Several adjustments to the Proposed Operating Budget have been included for adoption (see Attachment "A") and will appear in the final budget document. Two Council-directed changes were made at the study session on May 27th, including increasing the Parks & Recreation advertising allocation for "Metlox Sundays" by \$5,000, and funding for outsourcing traffic studies in El Porto and along Sepulveda Boulevard, for which we have added \$30,000 to the Community Development department budget. These two changes are being funded by a corresponding reduction in the City Council Contingency item, originally budgeted at \$260,000, now revised to \$225,000.

The adoption of the new/increased fees will have the following impacts: 1) the parking meter rate increase will generate an estimated \$500,000 per year for the Parking Fund; 2) the Playground



Agenda Item #:.	
-----------------	--

Program fees will generate \$161,000 per year to the General Fund, and 3) the Credit Card Convenience fee will generate approximately \$113,000 per year that will be allocated to the General Fund, or the appropriate Enterprise Fund (Water, Waste Water, Parking) if applicable. These new revenues have been included in the FY 2008-2009 budget (prorated based on implementation timelines).

DISCUSSION:

Tonight's meeting is the culmination of the budget process for FY 2008-2009. Several steps need to be taken in order to approve this financial plan that will take effect on July 1, 2008. These include adoption of resolutions approving our legally-required spending limits (Gann limit), approving the operating budget and capital improvements plan, increasing certain fees and approving associated Coastal Development Permits.

Fee Changes

Several fee changes are incorporated with the public hearing on the budget, including increased parking meter rates, Playground Program fees and a credit card convenience fee.

Parking Meter Rates

As reported in the Fiscal Year 2006-2007 audit report last January, the Parking Fund realized negative cash flow of approximately \$300,000; the result of increased expenses. Further, the FY 2008-2009 budget includes necessary maintenance for Parking Lots #2 (located at 13th and Bayview), #3 (Morningside and 13th), and #4 (Highland and Rosecrans) totaling \$325,000. An analysis of the Parking Fund, discussed during the recent Downtown Parking Study, indicates that new revenues of approximately \$700,000 annually are necessary to adequately support the operation, and provide for maintenance and adequate reserves. While a broader study of parking revenues will be developed as the Downtown Parking Study is further analyzed, in the interim, we have included in this budget an across-the-board twenty-five cents per hour parking meter rate increase for all City-owned lots (which will go from 50 cents per hour to 75 cents per hour) and street meters (which will go from 75 cents per hour to \$1 per hour). This is expected to generate \$500,000 per year – short of the total needed, but a necessary short-term step until the full parking plan is developed.

In order to enact the increase in parking meter rates, Council must adopt a resolution adjusting the fees (Resolution No. 6147 – Attachment "H"), and must also adopt Resolutions No. 6145 and 6146 (Attachments "F" & "G") which approve the necessary Coastal Development Permits¹. If approved, our goal is to have the meter rates changed within 30-45 days.

¹ Resolution No. 6145 provides a Coastal Development Permit for meters located within the appeal-able area of the coastal zone, which includes on-street meters on the west side on Manhattan Avenue. Final approval of the rate increase for these meters requires Coastal Commission approval. Resolution No. 6146 provides a similar permit, but includes meters in the coastal zone that are not in the appeal-able area. This does not require Coastal Commission approval.

Agenda Item #:		
----------------	--	--

Playground Fees

Our Playground program offers year 'round activities for children ages 6-12 who live in Manhattan Beach, attend the local schools, or whose parents work in Manhattan Beach. The program offers games, arts and crafts, nature activities, creative dramatics, sports and special events. The program is offered during the school year from 3 PM to 6 PM, during the summer for nine weeks, and for two weeks during the winter from 10 AM to 6 PM. This program carries a cost of over \$160,000 annually.

The program currently is provided free of charge. However, given our financial challenges, the budget includes the implementation of a new fee for this service. By charging just \$50 per semester for the school year and \$59 per week for the summer and holiday programs, we can fully recover our costs for this valuable program.

At the Budget Study Session, City Council requested further information on what other similar programs charge (that information is provided on Attachment "I"). If adopted as presented, Resolution No. 6147 (Attachment "H") will set the Playground Program fees at the rates stated above. However, if after reviewing the comparable data provided, the City Council chooses to modify the fees, the resolution may be changed prior to adoption. The fees will be implemented for the school year in Fall 2008.

Credit Card Usage Fees

Several years ago, we began accepting credit cards for most payments. Over the years, we have considered the costs associated with this service to be the cost of doing business, and a worthwhile convenience for our residents, visitors and businesses. Usage has steadily grown, and in a recent 12-month period, we accepted payments totaling \$4.7 million by credit card. This resulted in merchant fees of nearly \$113,000 for the year. While accepting credit cards is a convenient service, we believe that the costs associated with doing so need to be borne by the cardholder and not the general taxpayer. As a result, we have included a new user fee of 2.3% per transaction. This will provide full cost recovery for those charges. Adoption of Resolution No. 6147 (Attachment "H") will enact this fee.

Budget Clarification and Follow-Up

During the Budget Study Session, City Council requested clarification and/or follow-up on several items.

Five Year Forecast

City Council inquired into the deviation in the five year forecast of General Fund revenues and expenditures presented in the 08-09 budget, compared to the forecast for this next year that was presented in the FY 2007-2008 budget. The forecasts² are as follows:

² 2012-2013 not shown since there was no comparable forecast in 2007-2008

Revenues	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012
08-09 Forecast	\$51,813,219	\$53,539,552	\$55,846,139	\$58,211,537
07-08 Forecast	\$50,968,017	\$53,421,364	\$56,012,534	\$58,799,227
Variance	\$ 845,202	\$ 118,188	\$ (166,395)	\$ (587,690)
Expenditures				
08-09 Forecast	\$52,321,483	\$53,648,645	\$55,967,744	\$58,274,485
07-08 Forecast	\$50,623,340	\$52,965,440	\$55,419,440	\$57,990,940
Variance	\$ 1,698,143	\$ 683,205	\$ 548,304	\$ 283,545

The revenue variation in 2008-2009 is the result of the one-time bump from budgeting supplemental and prior year property taxes (\$925,000) that previously were not estimated. The out years reflect a lowering of expected growth rates from the previously forecasted 5% range to 3% to 4% which is reflective of the slow economy.

The cause of the forecast variation in expenditures in 2008-2009 of \$1,698,143 is twofold: Workers' Compensation was estimated to increase by 5% each year, or by approximately \$90,000 in 2008-2009. Given the new methodology of charging-out Workers' Compensation to more accurately reflect current (high cost) trends, we have increased charge-outs by \$1.1 million, or roughly \$1 million more than originally forecast in 2007-2008 for FY 2008-2009. Additionally, the school district support of \$520,000 in 2008-2009 was not previously forecast. As we look beyond 2008-2009, the variations in expenditure estimates continue to reflect the increased Workers' Compensation costs (which we have forecast to be stable at \$2.6 million within the General Fund) over original estimates.

Parks & Recreation Realignment Detail

For FY 2008-2009, several transfers between programs within the Parks and Recreation department have been included. For example, funds have been transferred from the Recreation Services program to the Older Adults program. These transfers, which ultimately net out department wide, make it difficult to discern the actual budgetary changes within a program from the prior year. As a result, the Council requested a summary of the transfers for four key expenditure objects (full-time salaries, part-time salaries, contract services and contract personnel) to provide greater clarity. Those changes are presented as Attachment "J" to this report.

Consistent with that request, the Council noted that the Cultural Arts program salaries increased from FY 2008-2009 from \$132,405 to \$163,283 (23%). The change is driven by the increased allocation of class registration support staff salaries to more accurately reflect the cost of providing those specific programs. In this case, the total allocation adds \$19,951 to the salaries line item in FY 08-09. In addition, it appears as though the salaries for the two direct staff members in this program were under-budgeted in 2007-2008 when compared to the prior year actual salaries paid. Considering these two factors, the budgeted salaries for FY 2007-2008 should have been approximately \$157,600 (two direct staff plus allocated registration support staff). As a result, the increase in 08-09 Cultural Arts salaries to \$163,263 is approximately \$5,680 or 3.6%.

Agenda	Item #·		
150maa		 	

The Appendix of the budget includes a summary schedule for all departments that totals each budget by the object code (i.e., salaries, contract services, etc.). In a year where there have been reallocations of funds to more accurately reflect program costs, these schedules may be very useful in identifying overall changes. In the case of Parks & Recreation, increases in contract services or contract personnel are often reflective of the success of their programs. That success is evident in the revenue projections for FY 2008-2009 which are increasing by 22% over the prior year's budget from \$1.9 million to \$2.3 million.

Senior Transportation

While the 2008-2009 budget includes funding for enhanced senior transportation, the City Council requested that additional long term service improvement options be prepared for discussion at a later date. That information is being developed and will be presented in Fall 2008.

Other points of clarification:

- Page 59 included a misprint in the total Transportation program expenditures in FY 2006-2007. The correct amount is \$573,740, not \$704,142. This will be corrected in the adopted version of the budget.
- The increase in salaries stated on page 99 for the Fire Department Prevention program is correct as stated. The increase over the prior year budget of \$48,559 reflects the actual salaries of those individuals now filling the assignment, which are different staff members than the prior year. Overall, Fire Department sworn salaries across all programs are increasing budget-over-budget by 3.5%, or \$127,987 (see page 172 for department summary).
- As requested by Council, the Sepulveda Bridge Widening project has been included in the five-year capital improvements plan. The revised CIP is provided as Attachment "K."

Budget-Related Resolutions

The budget related resolutions establish the City's spending limit in compliance with State law (Resolution No. 6142 – Attachment "B"), and authorize the City Manager to proceed in implementing the FY 2008-2009 proposed operating budget (Resolution No. 6143 – Attachment "D"). The 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) will be adopted with the passage of Resolution No. 6144 (Attachment "E"). The 08-09 budget includes the first year of the plan, while out-year projects will be appropriated in the respective future budget years.

Appropriation Limit

In 1980, California voters adopted Article XIIIB of the California State Constitution, commonly referred to as the Gann Initiative or Gann Appropriations Limit, placing limits on the amount of tax proceeds that State and local agencies can appropriate each year. The City's limit is adjusted each year for inflation, population and other factors.

Agenda Item #:		
----------------	--	--

On June 5, 1990, Proposition 111 was voted into California law. Among other provisions, Proposition 111 provided for changes in the calculation of the Gann Appropriation Limit. The annual adjustments to the spending limit were liberalized by Proposition 111 to be more closely linked to the rate of economic growth. Beyond local inflation and population factors, the provisions allow a City to use the higher of the percentage growth in personal income or the percent increase in the local tax roll due to non-residential property construction. Additionally, cities can choose to use the rate of change in population either within the City or County, whichever is higher. The City utilized elements of these adjustment factors in calculating this year's Gann Appropriation Limit.

Staff has calculated the Gann limit to be \$46,293,421. The City's tax proceeds subject to the FY 2008-2009 Gann limit equal \$36,613,959. Therefore, the City is within the Gann limitation by \$9,679,462 and in compliance with state law. This Gann limit calculation is attached as Attachment "C."

Section 7910 of the State Government Code requires a governing body to annually adopt, by resolution, an Appropriations Limit for the upcoming fiscal year when the budget is adopted. City Council may do so by adopting Resolution No. 6142 (Attachment "B").

CONCLUSION:

Staff is pleased to present the FY 2008-2009 operating budget and 2008-2013 CIP plan for your final consideration and adoption. The final budget will be available in July, and will also be available to our community on the City's website at www.citymb.info.

Attachments

- A. Summary of financial changes to the budget
- B. Resolution No. 6142
- C. Gann limit calculation
- D. Resolution No. 6143
- E. Resolution No. 6144
- F. Resolution No. 6145
- G. Resolution No. 6146
- H. Resolution No. 6147
- I. Playground Fee Comparison
- J. Parks & Recreation Program Funding Realignment Schedule
- K. Revised Capital Improvement Plan for 2008-2013

FY 2008-2009 City of Manhattan Beach Budget - Modifications to Proposed Budget

Attachment "A"

General Fund Beginning Budget	⇔	Revenues 51,753,519 \$	Expenditures 52,263,556	Account No.
Change # Revenue Changes: 1 Delete Sale of CDBG Funds. Funds to be retained and accumulated for future projects. (Service agency contributions retained as expenditures of \$106,035)	↔	(000'66)		100-3996
2 Addition of Playground Fees (scheduled for adoption at June 3rd meeting). Annual revenues prorated to commence with School Year in Fall.	⇔	67,000		New
3 Addition of Credit Card User Fee. Annual revenues of \$113,000 (General Fund portion).	⇔	101,700		New
Expenditure Changes: 4 Correction to Group Medical in Finance-Accounting Program		€	5,927	100-12-021-4201
5 Correction to Bank Service Charge line item within Parks & Recreation - Administration program.		€	40,000	100-14-011-5231
6 Addition of biennial actuarial study required to comply with GASB standards		€	12,000	100-12-021-5101
7 Addition of \$5,000 in P&R Cultural Arts Program for "Metlox Sundays" arts program		¥.	000 1	100-14-031-5207
8 Addition of funds to outsource traffic studies in El Porto and Sepulveda Blvd. in Community Development		· ·	00000	100-17-051-5101
9 Reduce Council Contingency to accommodate changes #7 and #8 above (original amount of \$260,000 reduced by amount	_)		100-11-011-5260
shown) Revised Totals	↔	\$ 51,813,219 \$	(35,000) 52,321,483	
General Fund Net Change	v)	\$ 002'69	57,927	

FY 2008-2009 City of Manhattan Beach Budget - Modifications to Proposed Budget

Attachment "A"

Insurance Fund Beginning Budget	↔	Revenues 4,537,860 \$	Expenditures 4,466,577	Account No.
Expenditure Change: 10 Correction to Group Medical in Human Resources - Risk Management		₩	11,855	601-13-022-4201
Revised Totals	⇔	4,537,860 \$	4,478,432	
Insurance Fund Net Change	€	٠	11,855	
Storm Water Fund Beginning Budget	∨	Revenues 381,705 \$	Expenditures 583,380	
Expenditure Change: 11 Reclassification of expenditure from Computer Supplies and Software (object 6141) to Studies, Audits and Analysis (object 6202) within the Storm Drain Program (page 143)		e	(000 370)	
12 Reclassification of expenditure from Computer Supplies and Software (object 6141) to Studies, Audits and Analysis (object 6200) within the Storm Proise Boston (1909)		•	(200,673)	1410-110-01-700
ozoz) witilii trie Stoffii Draffi Program (page 145) Revised Totals	€	381,705 \$	275,000 583,380	502-18-311-6202
Storm Water Fund Net Change	₩	()		

RESOLUTION NO. 6142

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009

SECTION 1. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California, hereby makes the following findings:

- Government Code Section 7910 requires the City Council to establish its appropriations limit pursuant to Article XIII B of the State Constitution; and
- B. The appropriations limit to be applied to the "proceeds of taxes" as defined by Section 7910 of the Government Code for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 has been calculated to be \$46,293,421.

SECTION 2. That the annual adjustment factors used in making such calculation based on the percentage changes in California per capita income and the annual population for the City of Manhattan Beach.

SECTION 4. That the City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this resolution; shall cause the same to be entered among the original resolutions of said City; and shall make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the City Council of said City in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED this 3rd day of June, 200 8.

Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
ATTEST:	Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
City Clerk	

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT CALCULATION

Article XIIIB of the California State Constitution, commonly referred to as the Gann Initiative or Gann Appropriations Limit, was adopted by California voters in 1980 and placed limits on the amount of proceeds of taxes that State and local agencies can appropriate and spend each year.

The limit is different for every agency and changes each year. The annual limit is based on the amount of tax proceeds that were authorized to be spent in FY 1978-79, modified for changes in inflation and population. Inflationary adjustments are based on increases in the California per capita income or the increase in non-residential assessed valuation due to new construction. An adjustment is also made based on changes in Manhattan Beach's population or County population.

For FY 2008-2009, the estimated tax proceeds appropriated by the Manhattan Beach City Council are under the Limit. The adjusted Appropriation Limit for FY 2008-2009 is \$46,293,421. This amount is the maximum amount of tax proceeds the City is able to appropriate and spend in FY 2008-2009. The appropriations subject to the Limit are \$36,613,959 leaving the City with an appropriations capacity under the Limit of \$9,679,446

Section 7910 of the State Government Code requires a governing body to annually adopt, by resolution, an Appropriations Limit for the upcoming fiscal year.

SPENDING LIMIT CALCULATION FY Appropriations subject to limit	2008-2009	
FY 2008-2009 Revenues (all funds)		\$82,679,820
Less: Nonproceeds of tax		46,065,861
Plus: User fees in excess of costs		0
Total appropriations subject to limit		<u>\$36,613,959</u>
Appropriation limit FY 2007-2008 adjusted appropriation limit A. Population adjustment (Based on change in City's/County population) B. Percent growth in per capita income	1.0086 1.04290	\$44,010,636
Total Annual Adjustment = (A multiplied by B)	1.0518689	
Increase in appropriation limit		\$2,282,785
FY 2008-2009 appropriation limit		\$46,293,421
Remaining appropriation capacity (deficit)		\$ 9,679,462
Available capacity as a percent of appropriation limit		20.91%

RESOLUTION NO. 6143

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009

SECTION 1. The City Council hereby makes the following findings:

- A. The Manhattan Beach Municipal Code provides that the City Manager of Manhattan Beach shall cause to be prepared and submitted to the City Council an annual budget; and
- B. The budget covering the fiscal year 2008-2009 has been reviewed by the City Council with regard to the approval of estimated revenues and expenditures; and
- C. The City Council has made such necessary revisions to the budget as provided for in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

 $\underline{SECTION~2}.~~ \text{The 2008-2009 proposed budget, as amended by Exhibit "A" attached hereto, is hereby approved and adopted as the official municipal budget for the City of Manhattan Beach.}$

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is directed to maintain three copies of the municipal budget on file at all times for inspection by the public.

SECTION 4. Effective July 1, 2008 the City Manager is hereby authorized to proceed with the implementation of the work program as incorporated in the approved and adopted budget, and that he has the authority to transfer any sum of appropriated funds between departments and programs provided they do not cross funds.

<u>SECTION 5</u>. Unexpended appropriations may be carried forward to the next fiscal year provided the funds have been previously encumbered for a specific purpose, or apply to authorized, but uncompleted projects in the Capital Improvement Plan.

July 2008. SECTION 6. This resolution shall become effective as of, on and after the 1st day of

SECTION 7. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this resolution; shall cause the same to be entered among the original resolutions of said City; and shall make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the City Council of said City in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED this 3rd day of June, 200 8.

Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
	Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	
	1

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

ATTACHPENT NOW

RESOLUTION NO. 6144

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR YEARS 2008-2013

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The City Council hereby makes the following findings:

A. It is the desire of the Manhattan Beach City Council to develop a proactive capital improvement plan to meet the community's needs and desires for future services, programs and facilities, and improve the City's ability to continue providing essential services in an emergency situation; and

- B. The proposed Capital Improvement Plan was reviewed by the Parking and Public Improvements Commission; and
- C. The proposed Capital Improvement Plan was presented to the City Council on May 27, 2008.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is directed to maintain three copies of the Capital Improvement Plan on file at all times for inspection by the public.

SECTION 4. By adoption of the 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Plan, it is the intent of the City Council to revise the five year plan each year by continuing to identify capital improvement projects five years into the future and re-prioritize existing capital improvements based on perceived community need.

SECTION 6. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution; shall cause the same to be entered among the original resolutions of said City; and shall make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the City Council of said City in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED this 3rd day of June, 2008.

	Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
		Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
	ATTEST:	
	City Clerk	<u>/</u>
APPROV	ED AS TO FORM:	1
By City	Attorney Workly	

STAGER ME

RESOLUTION NO. 6145

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH APPROVING A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO ALLOW MODIFICATIONS TO PUBLIC PARKING METER RATES WITHIN THE APPEALABLE PORTION OF THE CITY'S COASTAL ZONE - CA 08-21 (City of Manhattan Beach)

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California, hereby makes the following findings:

- A. The City of Manhattan Beach has proposed citywide modifications to the public parking meter rates in Manhattan Beach including city parking lots and on-street parking; in the City of Manhattan Beach.
- B. The proposed project includes raising the fee for public parking meters by twenty-five cents per hour resulting in seventy-fie cents per hour for lots and one dollar per hour for streets.
- C. The subject parking meter locations are located within the City of Manhattan Beach Coastal Zone, in the appealable area, excluding County owned parking lots at the Manhattan Beach Pier and El Porto Beach. In accordance with the Manhattan Beach Local Coastal Program (MBLCP), Coast Development Permit approval is required for this portion of the project, which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission.
- E. The applicant and property owner is the City of Manhattan Beach.
- F. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach conducted a noticed public hearing regarding the project at their regular scheduled meeting of June 3, 2008. The public hearing was advertised pursuant to applicable law and testimony was invited and received. All decisions set forth in this resolution are based upon substantial evidence received at said public meeting.
- G. The proposal is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) due to determination that it has no potential for having a significant effect on the environment, per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).
- H. The subject locations are located within Area District III, and are zoned Downtown Commercial, and Public and Semi-public. The surrounding properties are generally also zoned the same as the parking meter locations, or are residentially zoned. The General Plan designations for the subject locations all correspond to the zoning designations.
- The project will not individually nor cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.
- This Resolution, upon its effectiveness, constitutes the Coastal Development Permit for the subject parking meter rate changes
- K. Since the project is consistent with the following General Plan Goals and Policies, the project is also consistent with the Local Coastal Program (LCP), as discussed below, and since the LCP is consistent with the General Plan, the project is also consistent with the General Plan.

GENERAL PLAN
POLICIES: LAND USE

<u>Policy 2.3:</u> Protect public access to and enjoyment of the beach while respecting the privacy of beach residents.

GOALS: CIRCULATION

 $\underline{\text{GOAL 3:}}$ PROTECT LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS FROM THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING IMPACTS OF ADJACENT COMMERCIAL AREAS.

M. Based on the MBLCP Sections A.96.150 the following findings are made: That the project, as described in the application and accompanying materials, conforms with the certified Manhattan Beach Local Coastal Program, since the project is consistent with the following applicable policies from Chapter 4 of the Local Coastal Program:

COASTAL ACCESS POLICIES

A. Access Policies

Policy I.A.2:

The City shall encourage, maintain, and implement safe and efficient traffic flow patterns to permit sufficient beach and parking access.

Policy I.A.3:

The City shall preserve pedestrian access systems including the Spider Web park concept (Spider Web park concept: a linear park system linking the Santa fe railroad right-of-way jogging trail to the beach with a network of walkstreets and public open spaces. See Figure NR-1 of the General Plan).

Policy I.A.4:

The City shall maintain the use of commercial alleys as secondary pedestrian accessways.

B. Transit Policies

Policy I.B.4:

The City shall maintain the use of the Santa Fe right-of-way as a non-automobile transportation corridor between the northern city boundary and the intersection of Valley-Ardmore and Manhattan Beach Boulevard, as the closest link to the commercial business district and beach use.

Policy I.B.5:

The City shall maintain a pathway to facilitate jogging and pedestrian usage along the Santa Fe right-of-way.

Policy I.B.7:

The City shall provide adequate signing and directional aids so that beach goers can be directed toward available parking.

C. Parking Policies

Policy I.C.2:

The City shall maximize the opportunities for using available parking for weekend beach use.

Policy I.C.3:

The City shall encourage additional off-street parking to be concentrated for efficiency relative to the parking and traffic system.

Policy I.C.10:

Concentrate new parking in the Downtown Commercial District to facilitate joint use opportunities (office and weekend beach parking uses).

Policy I.C.11:

Maintain the existing public parking system in the vicinity of Valley/Ardmore/Manhattan Beach Boulevard to provide parking out of the

downtown area.

Policy I.C.15:

Continue management of existing parking facilities through enforcement to improve efficiency by keeping on-street spaces available for short-term users and encouraging

the long-term parkers to use off-street parking lots.

Policy I.C.16:

Improve information management of the off-street parking system through improved signing, graphics and public information and maps.

COASTAL LOCATING AND PLANNING NEW DEVELOPMENT POLICIES II.

A. Commercial Development

Policy II.A.6:

Encourage development of adequate parking facilities for future development through ground level on-site parking or a requirement to pay the actual cost of constructing sufficient parking spaces. Maximize use of existing parking facilities to meet the needs of commercial uses and coastal access.

SECTION 2. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach hereby APPROVES the subject Coastal Development Permit subject to the following conditions.

General Conditions

- 1. The proposed project shall be in substantial conformance with the project description, as approved by the City Council on June 3, 2008, subject to any special conditions set forth below. Any substantial deviation from the approved project description must be reviewed and approved by the City Council.
- 2. Parking quantities, locations, and permits in the appealable area of the coastal zone shall not be changed or affected by this project.

Procedural

- Effective Date. The subject Coastal Development Permit shall become effective after expiration of the time limits established by Manhattan Beach Municipal Code and Local Coastal Program.
- Fish and Game. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21089 (b) and Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 (c), the project is not operative, vested, or final until the required filing fees are paid.
- Terms and Conditions are Perpetual. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Director of Community Development and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.
- Review. All provisions of the Coastal Development Permit are subject to review by the Community Development Department 6 months after occupancy and yearly thereafter. At any time in the future, the City Council may review the Coastal Development Permit for the purposes of revocation or modification. Modification may consist of conditions deemed reasonable to mitigate or alleviate impacts to adjacent land uses.
- 7. Interpretation. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the City Council.
- Inspections. The Community Development Department staff shall be allowed to inspect the site and the development during construction at any time.

 Assignment. Pursuant to Section A.96.220 of the City's certified Local Coastal Program (Implementation Program), the Coastal Development Permit may be assigned to any qualified persons subject to submittal of the following information to the Director of Community Development.

SECTION 3. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009 and Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6, any action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void or annul this decision, or concerning any of the proceedings, acts, or determinations taken, done or made prior to such decision or to determine the reasonableness, legality or validity of any condition attached to this decision shall not be maintained by any person unless the action or proceeding is commenced within 90 days of the date of this resolution and the City Council is served within 120 days of the date of this resolution.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon completion of applicable California Coastal Commission appeal periods or procedures.

SECTION 5. The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this resolution; enter it into the original records of the City and thenceforth and thereafter the same shall be in full force and effect.

SECTION 6. The City Clerk shall make this Resolution reasonably available for public inspection within thirty (30) days of the date this Resolution is adopted.

•	(10) days of the date this resolution is adopted.
	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of June 2008.
Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
	Marine City College
	Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	
APPROVED	ASTOJEONM:)

ATTACHISTOR NG"

RESOLUTION NO. 6146

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH APPROVING A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO ALLOW MODIFICATIONS TO PUBLIC PARKING METER RATES WITHIN THE NON-APPEALABLE PORTION OF THE CITY'S COASTAL ZONE - CA 08-20 (City of Manhattan Beach)

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

 $\underline{\text{SECTION 1}}. \quad \text{The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California, hereby makes the following findings:}$

- A. The City of Manhattan Beach has proposed citywide modifications to the public parking meter rates in Manhattan Beach including city parking lots and on-street parking; in the City of Manhattan Beach.
- B. The proposed project includes raising the fee for public parking meters by twenty-five cents per hour resulting in seventy-fie cents per hour for lots and one dollar per hour for streets.
- C. The subject parking meter locations are located within the City of Manhattan Beach Coastal Zone, in the non-appealable area. In accordance with the Manhattan Beach Local Coastal Program (MBLCP), Coast Development Permit approval is required for this portion of the project.
- D. The applicant and property owner is the City of Manhattan Beach.
- F. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach conducted a noticed public hearing regarding the project at their regular scheduled meeting of June 3, 2008. The public hearing was advertised pursuant to applicable law and testimony was invited and received. All decisions set forth in this resolution are based upon substantial evidence received at said public meeting.
- G. The proposal is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) due to determination that it has no potential for having a significant effect on the environment, per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).
- H. The subject locations are located within Area Districts III and IV, and are zoned Downtown Commercial, Local Commercial, North-End Commercial, Public and Semi-public, and Open Space. The surrounding properties are generally also zoned the same as the parking meter locations, or are residentially zoned. The General Plan designations for the subject locations all correspond to the zoning designations.
- The project will not individually nor cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.
- J. This Resolution, upon its effectiveness, constitutes the Coastal Development Permit for the subject parking meter rate changes
- K. Since the project is consistent with the following General Plan Goals and Policies, the project is also consistent with the Local Coastal Program (LCP), as discussed below, and since the LCP is consistent with the General Plan, the project is also consistent with the General Plan.

GENERAL PLAN POLICIES: LAND USE <u>Policy 2.3:</u> Protect public access to and enjoyment of the beach while respecting the privacy of beach residents.

GOALS: CIRCULATION

GOAL 3: PROTECT LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS FROM THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING IMPACTS OF ADJACENT COMMERCIAL AREAS.

M. Based on the MBLCP Sections A.96.150 the following findings are made: That the project, as described in the application and accompanying materials, conforms with the certified Manhattan Beach Local Coastal Program, since the project is consistent with the following applicable policies from Chapter 4 of the Local Coastal Program:

COASTAL ACCESS POLICIES

A. Access Policies

Policy I.A.2:

The City shall encourage, maintain, and implement safe and efficient traffic flow patterns to permit sufficient beach and parking access.

Policy I.A.3:

The City shall preserve pedestrian access systems including the Spider Web park concept (Spider Web park concept: a linear park system linking the Santa fe railroad right-of-way jogging trail to the beach with a network of walkstreets and public open spaces. See Figure NR-1 of the General Plan).

Policy I.A.4:

The City shall maintain the use of commercial alleys as secondary pedestrian accessways.

B. <u>Transit Policies</u>

Policy I.B.4:

The City shall maintain the use of the Santa Fe right-of-way as a non-automobile transportation corridor between the northern city boundary and the intersection of Valley-Ardmore and Manhattan Beach Boulevard, as the closest link to the commercial business district and beach use.

Policy I.B.5:

The City shall maintain a pathway to facilitate jogging and pedestrian usage along the Santa Fe right-of-way.

Policy I.B.7:

The City shall provide adequate signing and directional aids so that beach goers can be directed toward available parking.

C. Parking Policies

Policy I.C.2:

The City shall maximize the opportunities for using available parking for weekend beach use.

Policy I.C.3:

The City shall encourage additional off-street parking to be concentrated for efficiency relative to the parking and traffic system.

Policy I.C.10:

Concentrate new parking in the Downtown Commercial District to facilitate joint use opportunities (office and weekend beach parking uses).

Policy I.C.11:

Maintain the existing public parking system in the vicinity of Valley/Ardmore/Manhattan Beach Boulevard to provide parking out of the

downtown area.

Policy I.C.15:

Continue management of existing parking facilities through enforcement to improve efficiency by keeping on-street spaces available for short-term users and encouraging the long-term parkers to use off-street parking lots.

Policy I.C.16:

Improve information management of the off-street parking system through improved signing, graphics and public information and maps.

II. COASTAL LOCATING AND PLANNING NEW DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

A. Commercial Development

Policy II.A.6:

Encourage development of adequate parking facilities for future development through ground level on-site parking or a requirement to pay the actual cost of constructing sufficient parking spaces. Maximize use of existing parking facilities to meet the needs of commercial uses and coastal access.

SECTION 2. The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach hereby APPROVES the subject Coastal Development Permit subject to the following conditions.

General Conditions

- The proposed project shall be in substantial conformance with the project description, as approved by the City Council on June 3, 2008, subject to any special conditions set forth below. Any substantial deviation from the approved project description must be reviewed and approved by the City Council.
- 2. Parking quantities, locations, and permits in the non-appealable area of the coastal zone shall not be changed or affected by this project.

Procedural

- Effective Date. The subject Coastal Development Permit shall become effective after expiration of the time limits established by Manhattan Beach Municipal Code and Local Coastal Program.
- Fish and Game. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21089 (b) and Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 (c), the project is not operative, vested, or final until the required filing fees are paid.
- Terms and Conditions are Perpetual. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Director of Community Development and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.
- 6. <u>Review.</u> All provisions of the Coastal Development Permit are subject to review by the Community Development Department 6 months after occupancy and yearly thereafter. At any time in the future, the City Council may review the Coastal Development Permit for the purposes of revocation or modification. Modification may consist of conditions deemed reasonable to mitigate or alleviate impacts to adjacent land uses.
- Interpretation. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the City Council.
- 8. <u>Inspections</u>. The Community Development Department staff shall be allowed to inspect the site and the development during construction at any time.

 Assignment. Pursuant to Section A.96.220 of the City's certified Local Coastal Program (Implementation Program), the Coastal Development Permit may be assigned to any qualified persons subject to submittal of the following information to the Director of Community Development.

SECTION 3. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009 and Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6, any action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void or annul this decision, or concerning any of the proceedings, acts, or determinations taken, done or made prior to such decision or to determine the reasonableness, legality or validity of any condition attached to this decision shall not be maintained by any person unless the action or proceeding is commenced within 90 days of the date of this resolution and the City Council is served within 120 days of the date of this resolution.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

 $\underline{\text{SECTION 5}}. \quad \text{The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this resolution; enter it into the original records of the City and thenceforth and thereafter the same shall be in full force and effect.}$

SECTION 6. The City Clerk shall make this Resolution reasonably available for public inspection within thirty (30) days of the date this Resolution is adopted.

	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of June 2008.
Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
	Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	
	1

ATTACHMENT H

RESOLUTION NO. 6147

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING A SCHEDULE OF FEES TO BE CHARGED BY VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS OF THE CITY FOR PERMITS AND SERVICES, SUPERSEDING ALL PRIOR APPLICABLE INCONSISTENT RESOLUTIONS OR ORDERS IN CONCERT THEREWITH

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DOES HEREBY DECLARE, FIND, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

 $\underline{\textbf{SECTION 1.}} \quad \text{The City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach hereby makes the following findings:}$

- Departments of the City have reviewed the fee schedule and made certain recommendations to the City Council; and
- B. The schedule of fees for permits and services (with the exception of the parking meter rate increases noted) represents reimbursement for cost incurred by the City in providing direct services to particular individuals or groups rather than to the general populace of the City of Manhattan Beach; and
- It is equitable that the City of Manhattan Beach be compensated for providing such direct services.

<u>SECTION 2</u>. The fees set forth on Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, are hereby adopted any previous fees inconsistent with the fees in Exhibit A are rescinded and replaced.

SECTION 3. The fees adopted hereunder, except the meter rates, are based upon the actual cost to the City of providing the service or facility for which the fee is charged.

SECTION 4. Departments of the City have reviewed the fee schedule and made certain recommendations to the City Council. The schedule of fees for permits and services represents reimbursement for costs incurred by the City in providing direct services to particular individuals or groups rather than to the general populace of the City of Manhattan Beach.

SECTION 5. Specific fees adopted under this resolution supercede all prior like and applicable fees from any and all previous resolutions and ordinances to the extent that they are inconsistent with the fees hereby adopted. Any and all fees and resolution provisions not inconsistent with the provisions of this resolution shall continue in full force and effect.

SECTION 6. The City Manager shall have the authority to interpret the provisions of this resolution for purposes of resolving ambiguities. The City Manager shall have the authority to authorize and require reasonable compensation for the temporary use of City property or receipt of City services not otherwise provided for in this resolution or other applicable resolutions or ordinances. Such compensation shall be based upon the City's fully burdened hourly rates or costs.

SECTION 7. This resolution shall take effect June 3, 2008.

SECTION 8. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this resolution; shall cause the same to be City Council members voting for and against this Resolution and shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of this Resolution along with the names of those City Council members voting for and against the Resolution.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of June, 2008.

Ayes: Noes: Absent: Abstain:	
	Mayor, City of Manhattan Beach, California
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By City Attorney

Resolution No. 6147 Exhibit "A"

Fees to be increased/implemented:

Parking Meter Rates	Old Rate	New Rate
City Parking Lots and Structures	\$.50 per hour	\$.75 per hour
On-Street Parking Meters	\$.75 per hour	\$1.00 per hour
Playground Program Fees	Old Rate	New Rate
School Year Program per semester	N/A	\$50 per child
Summer/Holiday program per week	N/A	\$59 per child
Credit Card Convenience Fee	Old Rate	New Rate
Percentage of each transaction to be added when using a credit card for city services	N/A	2.3%

AFTERSCHOOL AND SUMMER/HOLIDAY PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND ASSOCIATED FEES CHARGED BY SURROUNDING CITIES

Manhattan Beach Unified School District

- Afterschool program fees for elementary age children range from \$432-\$630/month
- Afterschool program fees for MBMS (middle school) youth \$306
- Nine-week Summer Camp program fees for elementary and middle school youth -\$260/week

El Segundo

- Afterschool program discontinued
- School vacations only have specialty classes

Hermosa Beach

- Afterschool program discontinued
- School vacations: Ages 6-13 \$165/week; non residents \$175/week

Hawthorne

- Afterschool program: specialty classes available such as tutoring arts
- No drop in program available
- Summer vacation: \$135/week

Torrance

- Afterschool program: Three payments of \$377, for a total of \$1,131/year
- Summer Vacation is user pay \$115/week

Redondo Beach

- Afterschool program \$100/month
- Summer vacation Nine weeks from 8:00 a.m. 6:00 p.m. \$290 for all nine weeks

Beverly Hills

- Afterschool specialty classes that last 7-8 weeks \$112
- Summer camps range from \$225 a week to a \$950 for a four week program

Santa Monica

Afterschool programs and summer vacations - \$170/week

ATMOSPHEDT 117"

RECREATION SERVICES - Page 63

4101 F/T Staff	2007-2008 \$349,085	2008-2009 \$295,267	- \$51,639 transferred to Sports and Aquatics (see below Sports obj#4101) for the registration processing allocation. This div.transferred over 30 sports classes to sports and aquatics so the registration processing fee also transferred \$19,951 transferred to Cultural Arts pg. 65 obj. 4101 for the registration processing fee.
4103 P/T Staff	\$576,309	\$612,983	 \$48,995 moved to older adults program pg 83 object 4103 increases in this object due to several special events transferred, i.e. Earthday, Pumpkin Races, Pet Appreciation
5101 Contract Services	\$323,613	\$288,738	-\$26,532 sports classes moved to sports and aquatics page 67 object 5202 -\$33,398 moved to older adults page 73 object 5101 +added new programs/classes
5102 Contract Personnel	\$222,455 <u>SPO</u>	\$106,892 RTS AND AQU A	-\$98,402 moved 20 contract classes to sports and aquatics page 67 object 5102 ATICS – Page 67
4101 F/T Salaries	2007-2008 \$73,440	2008-2009 \$179,326	+\$48,444 addition F/T Aquatics Supv. +\$51,639 allocation F/T and P/T registration processing support *Each division is charged for staff associated with registration process based on # of annual registrations
4103 P/T Salaries	\$282,626	\$291,109	- \$24,447 for P/T pool mgr. moved to F/T obj 4101 +\$52,279 added for sports leagues and lifeguards based on prior years actual. * Please note sports div. is proposing over \$500,000 in increased revenue to offset these costs.
5101 Contract Services	\$77,290	\$100,432	+ \$26,532 was moved from Rec. Services to Sports and Aquatics (10 classes) page 67 object 5101. Some of this amount was moved below to contract personnel
5102 Contract Personnel	\$193,950	\$387,512	+\$98,402 moved from Recreation Services page 62 object 5102 for 20 sports classes. + An additional \$90,000 was also added based on last years actual (\$323,208) Again this div. is predicting over \$500,000 in revenue to offset this.

OLDER ADULTS - Pg. 73

	2007-2008	2008-2009	
4103P/T salaries	\$48,995	\$50,895	This was moved from Rec. Services. See above
5101 contract	\$33,398	\$77,498	\$33,398 moved from Rec Services above
services			

CULTURAL ARTS-Pg 65

	2007-2008	2008-2009	
4101 F/T salaries	\$132,405	\$163,283	+\$19951 transferred from Rec Services for the reallocation of the registration processing fee.

As stated in the narrative section of this report each division in recreation is charged a registration processing fee that is based on the total annual number of registrations processed for that division.

ATTACKPUENT	17:001

PROJECT TITLE	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FIVE YEAR TOTAL
AB2766 Fund						
Alternative Fuel Station Expansion		100.000				100 000
AB2766 Fund TOTAL		100.000				100,000
Capital Improvement Fund						
City Yard Fuel Island Cover ¹		30,000				30 000
Energy Retrofit Audit	200,000					200,000
Strand Stairs ²	320,000				- Carlot	320,000
Capital Improvement Fund TOTAL	520,000	30,000			•	550.000
Gas Tax Fund						
Annual Slurry Seal Program	420,000	425,000	425,000	425,000	425,000	2.120.000
Annual Street Resurfacing Program			200,000	200,000	200.000	900 000
PCC Repairs	200,000	105,000	125,000	125,000	125,000	980.000
Peck Avenue Improvement Project ³	588,252	220,000				808.252
Gas Tax Fund TOTAL	1,508,252	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	4.508.252
Federal and State Grant Funds						
Sepulveda Boulevard Bridge Widening Project ⁸			2,300,000	7,700,000	74.	10,000,000
Strand Stairs ⁴		1,600,000				1.600.000
Grants Fund TOTAL	•	1,600,000	2,300,000	7,700,000	•	11.600,000
Proposition A Transportation Fund						
No Projects Scheduled						
Proposition A Transportation Fund TOTAL	•					
Proposition C Fund						
Dual Left-Turn I ane Projects: Easthound Manhattan Reach			100			
Blvd at Sepulveda Blvd and Northbound Sepulveda Blvd at						
Manhattan Beach Blvd	440,000					440,000
Proposition C Fund TOTAL	440,000	B.	•	•	•	440,000
Parking Fund						
Corrosion Treatment Lots 2 and 4	175,000					175,000

uki 2014

City of Manhattan Beach, Capital Improveme	ement Plan 2008-2013	2013	:			
PROJECTS BY FUND						
PROJECT TITLE	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FIVE YEAR TOTAL
Structure 3	150,000					150,000
Parking Fund TOTAL	325,000	•	•		•	325,000
State Pier & Parking Lot Fund						
Pier Railing Replacement					720,000	720,000
State Pier & Parking Lot Fund TOTAL	•	•	1		720,000	720,000
Stormwater Fund						
City Yard Fuel Island Cover ⁵		20,000				20,000
Infiltration Site Study	50,000					50,000
Storm Water Issues Study	75,000					75,000
Structural BMP Siting and Programmatic Solutions	150,000					150,000
Stormwater Fund TOTAL	275,000	20,000	•	•		295,000
Wastewater Fund						
Annual Sewer Line Replacement Project		350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	1,400,000
City Yard Fuel Island Cover ⁶		20,000				900,000
City Yard Remodel	37,500					37,500
Utility Master Plan (Sewer Video)	200,000					200,000
Utility Master Plan (Wastewater)	500,000					200,000
Utility Rate Analysis	50,000					20,000
Wastewater Fund TOTAL	1,087,500	400,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	2,537,500
Water Fund						
Annual Water Line Replacement Program	500,000	200,000	200,000	500,000	200,000	2,500,000
City Yard Fuel Island Cover ⁷		75,000				75,000
City Yard Remodel	37,500					37,500
Utility Master Plan (Water)	200,000					500,000
Utility Rate Analysis	50,000					50,000
Water Fund TOTAL	1,087,500	575,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	3,162,500
GRAND TOTAL \$	5,243,252	\$ 3,475,000 \$	3,900,000	\$ 9,300,000	\$ 2,320,000	\$ 24,238,252

11/11/23 1/4 3 of 4

City o	City of Manhattan Beach, Capital Improvement Plan 2008-2013	lan 2008-2013
Proje	Projects with Multiple Funding Sources for Current and Future Projects	urrent and Future Projects
	PROJECT TITLE	Notes
1	City Yard Fuel Island Cover	See Stormwater. Wastewater and Water funds for additional funding
7	Strand Stairs	See Federal Grant funds for additional funding
က	Peck Avenue Improvement Project	Includes \$588.252 in Prop 18 funds
4	Strand Stairs	See CIP funds for additional funding
5	City Yard Fuel Island Cover	See CIP. Wastewater and Water funds for additional funding
9	City Yard Fuel Island Cover	See CIP. Stormwater and Water funds for additional funding
7	City Yard Fuel Island Cover	See CIP. Stormwater and Wastewater finds for additional funding
8	Sepulveda Boulevard Bridge Widening Project	Includes \$3,500,000 in Prop C funds and \$6,500,000 in MTA funds

UNFUNDED PROJECTS	TOTAL COST
Short Term Projects	
Annual Street Resurfacing Program	\$1.200.000
Bell Avenue Storm Drain Replacement	\$700,000
Manhattan Heights Community Center Improvements	TBD
Joslyn Community Center Improvements	TBD
Live Oak Tennis Courts Reconstruction	\$500,000
Scout House Demolition	TBD
Synthetic Turf for Marine Soccer Field	\$1,480,000
Water Rights Acquisition	\$1,000,000
Water Well Addition	\$1,000,000
Long Term Projects	
City Hall Replacement	TBD
City Yard Improvements	TBD
Downtown Streetscape Improvements (Phase II)	TBD
Fire Station II Remodel	TBD
Pier Plaza and Entrance	TBD
Polliwog Park Improvements (Phase II)	TBD
Sepulveda Boulevard Trees	TBD
	TOTAL \$5,880,000

Facilities & Open Space Strategic Plan (FSP)

and its locker rooms are 60 years old, have ongoing maintenance problems and are too small to meet all the aquatics recreational and training demands in Manhattan Beach. The In June 2006, the City of Manhattan Beach began a community driven process, called the Facilities & Open Space Strategic Plan (FSP), with the goal of creating a master plan for final long-term Community and Facilities Strategic Plan was adopted by the City Council in March 2008. This plan is to be used as a blueprint for the location of facilities and open community and recreation facilities throughout the City. The City began this effort because several community facilities are nearing, or have reached, the end of their useful lives. These facilities require an ever increasing amount of maintenance work to remain open and are outdated for the programming needs of our community. For example, Begg Pool space in Manhattan Beach over the next 20-30 years. As funding becomes available, the following buildings identified in the strategic plan should be considered for improvement and/or replacement.

- The Library
- The Joslyn Center (community center)
- A community theatre
- A multi-purpose recreational facility with a 3-court gymnasium and other fitness rooms
 - A replacement space for the Scout House
- A skateboard park
- A multi-purpose aquatics facility.