

To End Segregation In School

[Chapel Hill board replies to school suit, \$1.] BY DAVID S. GREENE Greensbord Dally News Staff Writer

Parents and guardians of 161 Durham Negro children filed a suit in federal court here yesterday for an order directing the Durham School Board and its members to operate schools on a "non-racial" basis.

The suit also asks for an order to prevent the assignment of principals, teachers and other school personnel to the Durham schools on the basis of race and color. Filed in the Greensboro headquarters of U.S.

color. Filed in the Greensboro headquarters of U.S. Middle District Court, the sult lists Thurgood Marshall of New York City, chief counsel of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, as an attorney in the case. In addition to naming the board

In addition to naming the board as a unit, the suit is directed against individual board members and Lew W. Hannen, superintendent of the Durham City Schools.

The second

54

Ę

Board Members Included

Board members named in the suit are F, L. Fuller Jf., chairman, R. M. Harris, George Parks, Mrs. Ruth F, Dailey, Herman Rhinehart and Alston J. Stubbs.

Brought on behalt of the 161 children of 68 families and "all others similarly situated," the suit alleges that the Durham board is maintaining a "pattern of school zone lines based upon race and color."

The suit also makes these allegations:

1. That prior to the commencement of the 1559-60 school year, the board assigned all Negro students to schools attended solely by pupils of their own race.

1 2. That approximately 225 Negro children sought reassignment, with about eight of the requests being approved. The remainder were rejected.

were rejected. 3. That a hearing on the board's rejection of the majority of applications was requested, but with the board setting the hearing for Sept. 21, 1959, a date coming after the opening of school.

Applications Rejected

a 4. That after the hearing, the board announced that applications entered by those not perdisonally present were rejected, e and that the others were rejected without any cause given.

<sup>13</sup> The parents and guardians of <sup>15</sup> The children said the action of the children said the action of the board in setting the hearing for a date after school opened was "arbitrary, capricious, und reasonable, and constituted a denial of due process and equal a protection of the laws..."

The Negro children were assigned, the suit satd, to Hillside High School; Whitted Junior High School; and Spaulding, Burton,

(Continued on Page 2, Col. 7)

## **Court** Suit Filed By Durham Body

Park Schools. In another allegation, the par- not required of white chilents and guardians said their dren. . .... children would have been reas- "In the alternative," the suit signed to the schools attended by states, the court is asked to diwhite pupils except for their race rect the board and its members and color.

(Continued from Page One) | directing the board not to sult ject Negroes seeking reassign-Pearson, Walltown and Lyon ment or transfers to "criteria, requirements and prerequisites

to present a plan for reorganiza-The suit also seeks an order tion of the school system "on a unitary, nonracial basis.

The parents and guardians said a reorganization plan should include the assignment of pupils. principals, teachers and other school personnel and the drawing of school zone lines on a nonracial basis.