

as I would like to think that I have many good friends and neighbors of the Negro race.

The author presents a good case for the desires of the Negroes, desires which in most cases I believe are good, honorable and Christian. But I fear the methods used by the leaders of these movements to gain these good things, as the methods and results are neither good nor honorable to themselves, their race, their country or to Christ.

The author also introduces the subject of citizenship. I am a United States citizen, for which I must give God the glory. I neither earned nor worked for this blessing for I became a citizen the moment I was born. I believe the majority of Negroes who are living today obtained their citizenship the same way, regardless of the statements that they earned their citizenship on the merits of their good works. At any rate there is no difference in citizenship.

I do not believe that being a citizen gives me the right, legally or morally, to force any restaurant, religious, social or private organization to accept my presence if they for any reason do not want me. The right of any person to enter these places has always been given by invitation only; and God forbid that any person, group or race will ever gain this right by legal means, for I am aware that there are people of all races whose morals are limited to what the law allows.

No, I have no desire for this right by law, for as a Christian I could not exercise it anyway. Those who wish to enter these places can truly gain these rights only when the owners and members of these places have the grace to say, "Enter friend and

dine." Needless to say, actions of those who wish to enter will largely determine when this will happen.

Our ability to study this problem in the light of Christ and leave the Mahatma Gandhi to Hinduism and his impersonal god, Brahma, will determine the results. What seemed good for India may not be the answer for the United States.

GARLAND SHUMATE

Reidsville.

## "What Law Allows"

Editor of the Daily News:

The letter of Feb. 23 in answer to "A Christian Movement?" was interesting but applied statements from my letter to subjects that I neither mentioned nor implied.

Also, I referred only to that segment of the Negro and white races who are responsible for and supporting these, and I repeat, "unchristian movements,"