



Chatham County Planning Board Agenda Notes

Date: September 1, 2015

Agenda Item: IX. 1.

Attachment #: 1-6

- Subdivision**
 Conditional Use Permit
 Rezoning Request
 Other: Text Amendment

Subject:	Public Hearing request by the Governor’s Club Property Owner’s Association, Inc. for a text amendment to the Chatham County Zoning Ordinance, Section 7.2 Definitions and Section 10.13 Table of Permitted Uses to change the definition and allowances for Family Care Homes within the R1, R2, R5, and O & I zoning districts.
Action Requested:	See Recommendation
Attachments:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Report for the UNC School of Government; Coates’ Canons Blog – Can a group home be zoned out of the neighborhood? 2. Public hearing comments from Marla Benton, resident of Governor’s Club PUD, Governor’s Lake section. 3. Public hearing comments from Joe Glasson, resident of Governor’s Club. 4. Section 425 of the 2012 NC Building Code regarding residential care facilities. 5. Draft minutes from the August 17, 2015 public hearing. 6. NCGS Article 3 Family Care Homes.

Introduction & Background

A legislative public hearing was held on August 17, 2015. Planning staff presented the request to the Board of Commissioners. There were two citizens that spoke on the request: Marla Benton, who lives within the Governor’s Club PUD of Governor’s Lake, spoke during the public input session and Joe Glasson, who lives within the Governor’s Club PUD original community, spoke during the agenda item.

Ms. Benton stated she is a nurse and is opposed to the text amendment request. She stated she has an aging parent and would prefer to have an opportunity to have her close to her in this type of setting. Her comments are provided (Attachment 2).

Mr. Glasson spoke in favor of an amendment that would require a distance separation between such uses citing General Statute 168-22 and the Town of Pittsboro’s zoning regulations. His comments are provided (Attachment 3). Draft minutes from the public hearing are also provided as an attachment.

Also attached is the report from the UNC School of Government on family care homes in particular that includes the various General Statutes that govern the use and what precautions should be taken in considering regulations on family care homes (Attachment 1). The family care home must be allowed without special review in any single family zoning district. The underlying zoning classification for Governor's Club is R-1 Residential even though the project was approved under a Planned Unit Development in order to have smaller lots and more homes with associated commercial and office areas. It goes on to say when the home serves six or fewer residents who have a physical, mental, or other emotional disability, those are not treated any differently than any other single family home. Provided however that NCGS 168-22 provides that a local government may impose up to a ½ mile separation between family care homes.

The Fair Housing Act makes it unlawful to make a dwelling unavailable to a person because of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status, or disability. These are all considerations that must carefully be examined before any such restrictions such as distance requirements are put into place in connection to family care homes. The homes must still meet building code, follow any required setbacks, etc. before being occupied as with any other single family dwelling.

Discussion & Analysis

Currently the Chatham County Zoning Ordinance defines a Family Care Home as “a home meeting the NC Residential Building Code with support and supervisory personnel that provides room and board, personal care and habilitation services in a family environment.” These homes are currently permitted by right in residentially zoned districts for up to six residents and Office and Institutionally zoned areas. Until now, there have been no issues with family care homes or an influx of such a type of housing within the county's jurisdiction.

Chatham County has several institutional facilities for those who have disabilities and can no longer manage their activities of daily living. In a family care home setting, most are still able to drive, manage the majority of their ADLs, and still interact with their communities as would anyone else.

Some jurisdictions do have separation regulations within their zoning ordinances for family care homes. Within Chatham County, the Town of Pittsboro's zoning ordinance states “Family Care Homes are private residences for aged and disabled adults who may require supervision and assistance with personal care needs. Family Care Homes are owned by providers who live with the residents they serve. They must maintain a license with the State of North Carolina, are limited to six residents and may not be closer than a 2640 foot radius to another Family Care Home.” The Town of Siler City is said to have a ½ mile separation as well but I was not able to locate that in their UDO.

Per the NC Building Code, Section 425, define family care homes as residential care facilities. Section 425.3 Small Residential Care Facilities keeping no more than six (6) residents shall be classified as single family residential and therefore would not be regulated as a commercial property (Attachment 4).

One question posed during the public hearing was whether a homeowners association could enforce a separation requirement for family care homes. Staff received an interpretation from the County Attorney, Jep Rose, concluding that homeowners associations are prevented from enforcing family care home separation requirements and that it is left to local government if they choose to adopt a standard.

Based on the information provided, it is Planning staff recommendation to deny this request and not recommend any text amendments that would further attempt to regulate family care homes for up to six persons.

Recommendation

The Planning Department recommends denial of this request. The Planning Board has up to three meetings in which to make a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners. If the Planning Board makes a recommendation for adoption of the text amendment, a consistency statement will also need to be recommended to the Board of Commissioners.