

Chatham County Planning Board Agenda Notes

Date: <u>September 11, 2012</u>

| Agenda Item: VIII. 1. | | Attachment: | Attachment: #3 | |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Subdivision | | | | |
| Request | | | | |
| Other: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Subject: | Request by IS Development Co conditional use permit for Cole Pla 3.22 acres from the existing CU remainder of the residual prop Townhomes at Cole Place Owners A | ace on parcel #65804 JP to an adjacent pa perty subject to the | to remove and transfer arcel and transfer the | |
| Action Requested: | See Recommendations | | | |
| Attachments: | Application packet distributed p | rior to August 20, 20 | 12 public hearing. | |

Introduction & Background

A quasi-judicial public hearing was held on August 20, 2012 and planning staff presented the application. Also present were the president of the Cole Place Townhomes Homeowner's Association (HOA), David Holdaway, who voiced some concerns as well as the applicant/landowner, Greg Isenhour, who also spoke. No one else spoke on the issue.

Cole Place Development was approved April 1984. Since then, there have been several amendments and revisions to the CUP. The townhomes were approved for development in August 2003. When the project was completed, the residual lands were not transferred to the HOA but were retained by the developer/landowner, Mr. Isenhour. Mr. Isenhour currently has an interest in developing a parcel of land on US 15-501 N, which has a general use B-1 Business zoning. However, as standards have changed and policies and ordinances have been updated, that parcel does not have enough area to develop a small business and allow for the amount of pervious surface needed.

This request is to remove some of the residual lands from the Cole Place development that will later be recombined with a portion of an adjacent tract, which will then be added to the parcel on US 15-501 N. That recombination will come after an approval is granted.

Discussion & Analysis

Generally, in order for a matter to be considered for approval, there are five findings that must be addressed and proof given that each one can be supported. They are:

- FINDING #1 The use requested is among those listed as an eligible conditional use in the district in which the subject property is located or is to be located.
- FINDING #2 The requested conditional use permit is either essential or desirable for the public convenience or welfare.
- FINDING #3 The requested permit will not impair the integrity or character of the surrounding or adjoining districts, and will not be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the community.
- FINDING #4 The requested permit will be consistent with the objectives of the Land Use Plan.
- FINDING #5 Adequate utilities, access roads, storm drainage, recreation, open space, and other necessary facilities have been or are being provided consistent with the county's plans, policies, and regulations.

Due to the nature of this application, it is planning staff's opinion Findings 1, 4, and 5 remain valid as currently approved with no changes taking place.

It is planning staff's opinion Finding #2 continues to be met with this request. In order for a currently vacant tract of land to be developed, there must be enough land to comply with the watershed protection regulations for impervious surface. The addition of the 3.22 acres from this tract would provide the potential for this site to be developed and lend to the economic growth of the county.

It is planning staff's opinion Finding #3 continues to be met with this request. By removing 3.22 acres from the Cole Place tract, an additional parcel of land will have more flexibility to be developed and the required open space for the impervious surface for Cole Place will remain sufficient and in compliance with regulations.

During the public hearing, Mr. Holdaway voiced concerns over items, in his opinion, needed to be addressed. The concerns were the playground area, some fencing, and concrete needed to be removed from the property. He also stated the southern border of the property across from the mobile home park needs repairs and there are pine trees falling that need to be removed. Mr. Holdaway also wanted to know what the tax liability for the HOA would be.

Mr. Isenhour responded there are approximately 7 to 7 ½ acres currently under his ownership of which only the 3.22 will remain under his name. The remainder will be offered over to the HOA. Commissioner Kost asked Mr. Isenhour about the concerns raised by Mr. Holdaway to which he responded he will address the tree issue, but wasn't sure the wooden fence is on this property. He also stated he inherited the playground when he started developing the property and it was his understanding it was to be cleaned up then. Planning staff advised the Board these are issues to be

worked out between the landowner and the HOA and the county would not be involved in these matters.

Based on the information submitted, testimony provided, and the notes from planning staff, the five (5) findings may be made. There are no additional conditions recommended upon this approval. All conditions approved on this project shall continue and be allowed to proceed as stated in those approvals.

Recommendation

It is the Planning Department opinion the five findings may be made and the Planning Board has up to three (3) meetings in which to make a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners.