## PLANNING & ZONING REVIEW NOTES

VIII. Zoning and Ordinance Amendments A.

| <u>SUBJECT:</u>     | Public hearing request to rezone a portion of parcel #18402<br>(consisting of approximately 30 acres), owned by Joanna<br>Bright and Walter Anthony Bright, Trustees, from R-1,<br>Residential, to Light Industrial. |
|---------------------|--|
| <u>ATTACHMENTS:</u> | The following was mailed to the Planning Board in the packets on June 25, 2009:  |
|                     | <ol> <li>Rezoning Map</li> <li>Agenda Notes</li> </ol>   |
|                     | The following may be viewed on the Planning Department website at <u>www.chathamnc.org</u> under Rezoning & Subdivision Cases, 2009:   |
|                     | 3. Arcview map   |

4. Map showing area under consideration for rezoning

# INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND:

A legislative public hearing was held on this request on July 20, 2009. Mr. Anthony Bright spoke on the request and four (4) citizens spoke in opposition of the request, Bill Causey, Kathleen Hindley, Sonny Kiesler, and Debbie Wakefield. Concerns addressed were the beautification of the US 15-501 S/NC 87 S corridor, the applicability to the proposed Major Corridor Overlay District that the Board of Commissioners is considering, increase in traffic for industrial use, and the depletion of rural character. Mr. Bright stated when the road was built to its current design 35 years ago the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) purchased approximately 12 acres along the front of this property for the widening of the roadway. Mr. Bright stated NCDOT made the statement the property would be well suited for commercial uses. Up and until November 2007, the property was unzoned and could have been used for commercial, industrial, office & institutional or residential purposes. Mr. Bright stated at the public hearing there were no contracts or prospects for occupancy of the property for any uses as described with the exception of the 1 acre for the communications tower currently existing on the parcel.

On June 1, 2009 the Board of Commissioners scheduled a public hearing to consider rezoning approximately 30 acres of a 42.5 acre tract of land at 8240 NC 87 South (parcel #18402) from R-1, Residential to Light Industrial. An approximately 1 acre portion of the property was rezoned as part of the corridor business rezoning that was considered by the Board on May 18, 2009. Anthony Bright, owner of the tract of land, requested the Board to reconsider their decision on his property based on the affidavit he submitted as part of the corridor business rezoning.

#### *Re: Bright, Joanna and Walter Anthony* INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND – cont.

The Planning Department staff and Planning Board are required to make a recommendation on the requested change of the zoning district from R-1 (Residential/Agricultural) to Conditional Use Community Business District (CU-CB). Such a recommendation is partially based on adopted land use plans and policies as well as changing conditions as noted in the Chatham County Zoning Ordinance under Section 17. The applicant has addressed this in the application.

### **DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS:**

The Chatham County Land Conservation and Development Plan, here after referred to as the "Plan", is a general policy plan. Consistency between proposed projects and the objectives and guidelines of the Plan may be argued for or against. A specific plan map was not adopted but a draft map was prepared. You are encouraged to read the entire Land Conservation and Development Plan of 68 pages, which is on the Planning page of the County web site. This property, along with several other parcels owned by Mr. Bright and others, is under a forestry land use management plan per the Chatham County Tax Office.

Previous actions for this property included, as part of the corridor business rezoning, staff contacting all business property owners affected by the initial business rezoning to determine if they wanted to rezone their property. Mr. Bright requested to have his entire property rezoned to Light Industrial in response to the letter. As the rezoning affidavits were processed, Mr. Bright's request was reduced to approximately 1 acre in area surrounding an existing telecommunications tower on the property. During the April 27 public hearing Mr. Bright requested that the entire tract be rezoned as originally requested. Due to notification requirements, the Board of Commissioners could not expand the area to be rezoned because the public hearing notices only included the approximately 1 acre portion of the property.

On May 5, 2009 the Planning Board recommended that the 1 acre portion of the property be record to Light Industrial and that the balance of the zoned portion of the property go back to public hearing for consideration. On May 18 the Board of Commissioners rezoned the 1 acre portion of the property and requested that additional information be provided on the balance of the property for the June 1 Commissioners meeting. The Board agreed to schedule another public hearing for July 20 to consider rezoning the remaining R-1 zoned portion of the property to Light Industrial. The portion of the property that is unzoned was not included in the request for public hearing and will remain unzoned. The corridor area rezoning was to bring existing uses into compliance with the zoning ordinance to reduce the amount of non-conformities that were going to exist with the corridor rezoning. There were several other large tract properties that received partial rezoning based on the amount of area used for that particular business. If Mr. Bright wishes to continue with rezoning the balance of the property, currently not used for non-residential purposes, it would be more appropriate to request a conditional use rezoning request along with a conditional use permit for specific uses and go through the conditional use rezoning processes. This would give the Board more control.

#### *Re: Bright, Joanna and Walter Anthony* <u>**RECOMMENDATION:**</u>

The Planning Department recommends denial of this rezoning request Light Industrial. The Planning Board has up to three meetings to make a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners.